

Interview Summary

is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Application No. 09/030,985

Applicant(s)

Falo et al

Examiner

F. Pierre VanderVegt

Group Art Unit 1644



	(3)
2) <i>Diane Meyers</i>	(4)
Date of Interview May 17, 2000	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to	☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	X No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: <i>None</i>	
dentification of prior art discussed: None	
-	to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: er to place the application in better form for allowance.
· ·	

1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

F. PIERRE VANDERVEGT PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 1644